Forty-year inflation highs and Chairman Powell's best Paul Volcker impression gave markets a case of 1970's stagflation déjà vu in the second quarter. Spooked market participants responded by pushing the S\&P 500 into bear market territory with just over a $20 \%$ decline. ACR strategy results can be found at https://acr-invest.com/strategies/.

Investors who purchased companies with sound cash profits at reasonable prices and pruned their portfolios of excessively priced companies along the way need not worry. They can safely ignore today's price declines as the fundamental value of their portfolio is rising with the accumulation of earnings, and since they avoided overpaying at price extremes. Unfortunately, many investors in recent years purchased companies at inflated prices compounded by further price gains, which are now proving ephemeral.

How do you know which type of investor you are? The investment industry does not give investors the tools to really know, largely because it is very difficult to do. One important way to know whether the prices of the companies you own are reasonable is to understand their earnings and earnings growth. ACR has always done our best to communicate the level and nature of the underlying profits of our companies. On that score, our 2021 year-end commentary showed the earnings and price development of our Equity Quality Return (EQR) strategy companies and the S\&P 500 over the past 10 years.

## EQR vs. S\&P 500: Price and Earnings 2012-2021



Source: ACR and Standard \& Poor's
The charts above show the increase in corporate earnings and market price for both the EQR strategy and S\&P 500 from 2012 to 2021. Earnings growth is calculated as the earnings yield (earnings / price or " $E Y$ ") multiplied by the portfolio price at the beginning and ending periods. Price growth for the EQR strategy is calculated by disaggregating the price and dividend return from the portfolio total return. For additional explanation see end note 1.

Before proceeding to an update of these charts for the 2022 bear market, we revisit three key takeaways that help explain the figures in them:

1) The change in EQR and S\&P 500 earnings is based on (i) the earnings growth of the underlying companies and (ii) differences in the earnings of portfolio companies, in relation to their price, that are removed and added over time.
2) EQR earnings are $190 \%$ higher largely because we replaced companies (or resized positions) when their prices overshot their earnings with companies whose prices were lower relative to their earnings.
3) ACR thereby "pruned" portfolios of valuation risk while capturing additional earning power and fundamental value.

We believe EQR strategy investors are the type who needn't worry about the bear market because we purchased companies with sound cash profits and pruned our portfolios along the way. Conversely, investors in the S\&P 500, especially those who invested during the second half of the last decade, likely purchased companies at inflated prices and may experience disappointing returns.

The bear market of the first half of this year presents an opportunity to update these charts at an interesting moment in time.

## EQR vs. S\&P 500: Price and Earnings 2012 - 1H22



## Source: ACR and Standard \& Poor's

The charts above show the increase in corporate earnings and market price for both the EQR strategy and S\&P 500 from 2012 to June 30, 2022. Earnings growth is calculated as the earnings yield (earnings / price or "EY") multiplied by the portfolio price at the beginning and ending periods. Price growth for the EQR strategy is calculated by disaggregating the price and dividend return from the portfolio total return. For additional explanation see end note 1.

Two takeaways will help to explain these updated figures and where we stand today:

1) The price of the EQR strategy during the current bear market has become even less expensive relative to underlying company earnings.
2) The price of the S\&P 500 declined significantly more than EQR's price, yet the S\&P 500 remains significantly higher in price relative to its earnings.

A more conventional presentation of total return (price plus dividends) for EQR and the S\&P 500 since inception and during the bear market of the past six months is presented below:

| EQR Since Inception and YTD | EQR (Gross) | EQR (Net) | S\&P 500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/03/2000 - 6/30/2022 | $11.6 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| YTD 6/30/2022 | $-9.8 \%$ | $-10.2 \%$ | $-20.0 \%$ |

Past performance does not guarantee future results.
EQR (Net) is net of $1 \%$ fee.
See EQR performance presentation for disclosures and full results at https://acr-invest.com/ear-advised-sma-composite/.

Almost all stocks including EQR strategy holdings will decline during bear markets. The key is to distinguish between stock price volatility and true loss. ACR defines true loss as an unanticipated material degradation in earning power, or the (unwitting) overpayment for earnings or fundamental value. Even though EQR declined by $10 \%$ in the first six months and may decline more in the future, the development of the earnings of our companies relative to their prices demonstrates that ACR's clients are very likely to be experiencing volatility rather than true loss.

The upshot is that this year's price declines have allowed us to put a great deal of cash to work, and we are now nearly fully invested. The quarter-end price/value ${ }^{2}$ of EQR was a historically favorable 0.71 . Only in 2008-09 and March 2020 was the price/value lower than 0.70.

The bear market has seen many high-flyers come back to earth, as the table on the following page shows. While we are sifting through the rubble, we have not identified any companies of interest from this group. The merits of a valuation are not based on how far a stock declines from its peak, but whether we can reliably estimate the company's future earnings, and what the price is today for those earnings. Fortunately, in the quarter we added two new EQR holdings, which were significantly off their highs and even more attractive, in our view, than the companies on this list.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | 3-Year High | 3-Year High | Decline from | Market |  |
| Company | Price | Price | Date | High | Value $\$$ |  |
| Peloton Interactive, Inc. | NasdaqGS:PTON | 9.6 | 171.1 | Jan-21 | $-94 \%$ | 3,222 |
| Nikola Corporation | NasdaqGS:NKLA | 5.8 | 94.0 | Jun-20 | $-94 \%$ | 2,430 |
| Carvana Co. | NYSE:CVNA | 25.2 | 376.8 | Aug-21 | $-93 \%$ | 2,665 |
| QuantumScape Corporation | NYSE:QS | 10.8 | 132.7 | Dec-20 | $-92 \%$ | 4,666 |
| Clover Health Investments, Corp. | NasdaqGS:CLOV | 2.6 | 28.9 | Jun-21 | $-91 \%$ | 1,226 |
| Redfin Corporation | NasdaqGS:RDFN | 9.1 | 98.4 | Feb-21 | $-91 \%$ | 980 |
| Lemonade, Inc. | NYSE:LMND | 19.4 | 188.3 | Jan-21 | $-90 \%$ | 1,198 |
| Robinhood Markets, Inc. | NasdaqGS:HOOD | 8.9 | 85.0 | Aug-21 | $-90 \%$ | 7,751 |
| Snap Inc. | NYSE:SNAP | 10.0 | 83.3 | Sep-21 | $-88 \%$ | 16,407 |
| Opendoor Technologies Inc. | NasdaqGS:OPEN | 4.9 | 39.2 | Feb-21 | $-87 \%$ | 3,086 |
| Wayfair Inc. | NYSE:W | 49.3 | 369.0 | Jan-21 | $-87 \%$ | 5,193 |
| Teladoc Health, Inc. | NYSE:TDOC | 42.1 | 308.0 | Feb-21 | $-86 \%$ | 6,783 |
| Beyond Meat, Inc. | NasdaqGS:BYND | 33.4 | 239.7 | Jul-19 | $-86 \%$ | 2,125 |
| Coinbase Global, Inc. | NasdaqGS:COIN | 67.1 | 429.5 | Apr-21 | $-84 \%$ | 14,891 |
| Allbirds, Inc. | NasdaqGS:BIRD | 5.1 | 32.4 | Nov-21 | $-84 \%$ | 763 |
| Zillow Group, Inc. | NasdaqGS:Z | 35.7 | 212.4 | Feb-21 | $-83 \%$ | 8,801 |
| Roku, Inc. | NasdaqGS:ROKU | 86.7 | 490.8 | Jul-21 | $-82 \%$ | 11,790 |
| Rivian Automotive, Inc. | NasdaqGS:RIVN | 32.2 | 179.5 | Nov-21 | $-82 \%$ | 28,974 |
| DraftKings Inc. | NasdaqGS:DKNG | 13.4 | 74.4 | Mar-21 | $-82 \%$ | 5,596 |
| Zoom Video Communications, Inc. | NasdaqGS:ZM | 106.1 | 588.8 | Oct-20 | $-82 \%$ | 31,658 |
| Twilio Inc. | NYSE:TWLO | 86.6 | 457.3 | Feb-21 | $-81 \%$ | 15,730 |
| Lyft, Inc. | NasdaqGS:LYFT | 13.3 | 68.3 | Mar-21 | $-81 \%$ | 4,632 |
| DocuSign, Inc. | NasdaqGS:DOCU | 63.4 | 314.8 | Aug-21 | $-80 \%$ | 12,676 |
| Warby Parker Inc. | 12.3 | 60.3 | Nov-21 | $-80 \%$ | 1,408 |  |
| AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc. | NYSE:AMC | 14.9 | 72.6 | Jun-21 | $-79 \%$ | 7,706 |
| Palantir Technologies Inc. | NYSE:PLTR | 9.7 | 45.0 | Jan-21 | $-78 \%$ | 19,811 |
| PayPal Holdings, Inc. | NasdaqGS:PYPL | 81.7 | 310.2 | Jul-21 | $-74 \%$ | 94,554 |
| DoorDash, Inc. | NYSE:DASH | 71.8 | 257.2 | Nov-21 | $-72 \%$ | 28,523 |
| GameStop Corp. | NYSE:GME | 34.0 | 120.8 | Jan-21 | $-72 \%$ | 10,295 |

Source: CapIQ, current price as of July 25, 2022; 3-year high price based on intraday high

By our reckoning, markets reached peak distortion sometime in the fourth quarter of 2020 or early 2021. A great speculation, on par with the boom of the late 1990s, slowly built during the latter half of the last decade, culminating in a frenzy of overvaluation during the pandemic. Whether we are in the fourth or ninth inning of the bust likely depends on the company in question. Regardless, we continue to believe that very large distortions remain between a well-selected group of value companies and the overall market. Whether the value reset implied by these distortions will occur during the current bear market or whether they will play out over several years of ups and downs, only time will tell.

## Nick Tompras

July 2022

As of November 4, 2022, we have provided this supplement to accompany the commentary and satisfy changing regulations: https://acr-invest.com/commentary-supplement/

## End Notes

1. The EQR Total Accounts Composite total return (including cash) was $236 \%$ from Dec. 31, 2011 to Dec. 31,2021 pure gross of fees. The dividend return was $20 \%$ based on the EQR strategy period divided yield multiplied by the equity allocation. The portfolio total return and estimated dividend return establish a price return of $181 \%$. The EQR strategy EY based on our company-level earning power estimates was $8.6 \%$ (P/E of 11.7) as of Dec. 31, 2011 and $8.8 \%(P / E$ of 11.3 ) as of Dec. 31, 2021. The price growth of $181 \%$ and $E Y$ increase of $3 \%$ results in calculated earnings growth of $190 \%$. EQR company cash earning power estimates were chosen because we believe that they are more accurate and conservative than other earnings measures. Based on as reported earnings (diluted EPS excl. extra items from S\&P Cap IQ), the EQR strategy EY was 7.9\% (P/E of 12.6) as of Dec. 31, 2011 and 13.8\% (P/E of 7.2) as of Dec. 31, 2021. This results in earnings growth of $391 \%$. As reported and operating earnings of concentrated portfolios like the EQR strategy often contain distortions that render them less accurate and informative. We believe the EQR cash earning power estimate removes these distortions and is the best representation of earnings for the EQR strategy and this analysis. The S\&P 500 Index price return was $279 \%$ from Dec. 31, 2011 to Dec. 31, 2021 (total return was 363\%). The S\&P 500 EY based on trailing 4Q as reported earnings was $6.9 \%$ (P/E of 14.5) as of Dec. 31, 2011 and $4.0 \%$ (P/E of 24.9) as of Dec. 31, 2021 (4Q21 earnings are based on the current estimate provided by S\&P Dow Jones Indices). The price growth of $279 \%$ and EY decrease of $42 \%$ results in $120 \%$ earnings growth. As reported earnings result in the most favorable earnings growth rate for the S\&P 500. Operating earnings resulted in $109 \%$ earnings growth and normalized earnings using ACR's cyclical adjustment method resulted in $52 \%$ earnings growth. The analysis for both the EQR strategy and S\&P 500 includes changes in the underlying companies owned from Dec. 31, 2011 and Dec. 31, 2021. Earnings growth is impacted by both the earnings growth of portfolio companies and changes in portfolio composition. For the EQR portfolio, the analysis shows how changes in portfolios composition - as we "prune" the portfolio of companies we believe are over-valued and replace them with companies we believe are under-valued - has resulted in the capture of significant earnings growth. we believe are under-valued - has resulted in the capture of significant earnings growth.

The EQR Total Accounts Composite total return (including cash) was 203\% from Dec. 31, 2011 to June 30, 2022 pure gross of fees. The dividend return was $21 \%$ based on the EQR strategy period divided yield multiplied by the equity allocation. The portfolio total return and estimated dividend return establish a price return of $151 \%$. The EQR strategy EY based on our company-level earning power estimates was 8.6\% (P/E of 11.7) as of Dec. 31, 2011 and $10.8 \%$ (P/E of 9.3) as of June 30,2022 . The price growth of $181 \%$ and EY increase of $2 \%$ results in calculated earnings growth of $216 \%$. EQR company cash earning power estimates were chosen because we believe that they are more accurate and conservative than other earnings measures. As reported and operating earnings of concentrated portfolios like the EQR strategy often contain distortions that render them less accurate and informative. We believe the EQR cash earning power estimate removes these distortions and is the best representation of earnings for the EQR strategy and this analysis. The S\&P 500 Index price return was $201 \%$ from Dec. 31, 2011 to June 30, 2021 (total return was $270 \%$ ). The S\&P 500 EY based on trailing 4Q as reported earnings was $6.9 \%$ ( $P / E$ of 14.5) as of Dec. 31, 2011 and $5.2 \%$ ( $P / E$ of 19.1) as of June 30, 2022 (03/31/2022 TTM earnings provided by S\&P Dow Jones Indices). The price growth of $201 \%$ and EY decrease of $24 \%$ results in $128 \%$ earnings growth. As reported earnings result in the most favorable earnings growth rate for the S\&P 500. The analysis for both the EQR strategy and S\&P 500 includes changes in the underlying companies owned from Dec. 31, 2011 and June 30, 2022. Earnings growth is impacted by both the earnings growth of portfolio companies and changes in portfolio composition. For the EQR portfolio, the analysis shows how changes in portfolios composition - as we "prune" the portfolio of companies we believe are over-valued and replace them with companies we believe are under-valued - has resulted in the capture of significant earnings growth.
2. The Price/Value is ACR's estimate of undervaluation based on market prices and Fundamental Value. Fundamental Value is ACR's estimate of what a company is worth based upon our estimate of its future cash flows and their riskiness. Ultimately, Fundamental Value represents the portfolio manager's subjective estimate of business value.

## IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

ACR Alpine Capital Research LLC is an SEC registered investment adviser. For more information please refer to Form ADV on file with the SEC at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. Registration with the SEC does not imply any particular level of skill or training.

All statistics highlighted in this research note are sourced from ACR's analysis unless otherwise noted.
It should not be assumed that recommendations made in the future will be profitable or will equal the performance of the examples discussed. You should consider any strategy's investment objectives, risks, and charges and expenses carefully before you invest.

This information should not be used as a general guide to investing or as a source of any specific investment recommendations, and makes no implied or expressed recommendations concerning the manner in which an account should or would be handled, as appropriate investment strategies depend upon specific investment guidelines and objectives. This is not an offer to sell or a solicitation to invest.

This information is intended solely to report on investment strategies implemented by Alpine Capital Research ("ACR"). Opinions and estimates offered constitute our judgment as of the date set forth above and are subject to change without notice, as are statements of financial market trends, which are based on current market conditions. There are risks associated with purchasing and selling securities and options thereon, including the risk that you could lose money. All material presented is compiled from sources believed to be reliable, but no guarantee is given as to its accuracy.

The investment outlook represents ACR's views on the economic factors that may affect the international capital markets. There can be no guarantee that these factors will necessarily occur as ACR anticipates, nor that if they do, they will lead to positive performance returns. There can be no assurance that any objective will be achieved.

The Equity Quality Return (EQR) Advised / SMA Composite consists of equity portfolios managed for non-wrap fee and wrap fee clients according to the Firm's published investment policy. The composite investment policy includes the objective of providing satisfactory absolute and relative results in the long run, and to preserve capital from permanent loss during periods of economic decline. EQR invests only in publicly traded marketable common stocks. Total Return performance includes unrealized gains, realized gains, dividends, interest, and the re-investment of all income. Pure Gross returns are gross of all fees and do not reflect the deduction of transaction costs in wrap portfolios. Pure Gross returns are supplemental information. Net of ACR Fee returns are Pure Gross returns reduced by $1.0 \%$ per annum, which is the standard management fee for the Equity Quality Return strategy. Please refer to our full composite performance presentation with disclosures published under the Strategies section of our web site at www.acr-invest.com/strategies/eqr-advised-sma-composite.

The S\&P 500 TR Index is a broad-based stock index including reinvestment of dividends and has been presented as an indication of domestic stock market performance. The S\&P 500 TR index is unmanaged and cannot be purchased by investors. See EQR's full composite presentation at www.acr-invest.com/strategies/eqr-advised-sma-composite.

