

The Voting Machine and a Different Kind of Bubble

Ben Graham, the father of value investing, once said of the stock market, “In the short run, the market is a voting machine but in the long run it is a weighing machine.” To Graham the market was like a popularity contest. Investors “vote” for a stock out of considered enthusiasm for its prospects. Results however are determined in the long run as profits are “weighed” year after year and intrinsic value is revealed.

Voting, of course, is the defining mechanism of a representative democracy, and America voted for a new president last year. Like the market, the voting machine of American opinion rendered its choice, but the results of that choice will only be known in the future as the next four to eight years unfold. Greater changes appear in store under this administration than any in recent memory, yet we believe other factors may prove more impactful economically. In this commentary, we review our approach to the current market environment, discuss the factors we believe are likely to have the greatest impact on financial markets, and summarize our view of key policies under consideration by the new administration.

Our mission is to protect first, profit second. Today is a time to protect. Factors that we believe will have a major impact on future financial market returns are the level of equity prices, interest rates, credit spreads, and the economic cycle. Equity prices are high, interest rates are low, credit spreads are narrow, and the US economic recovery is mature. The stage is set for low future financial market returns.




Figure 1 —Source: ACR Alpine Capital Research analysis, Bloomberg, S&P CapIQ, NBER

Low returns are not the end of the world, and of course we will do everything in our power to safely increase returns by investing in assets with very different characteristics than the overall market.

The financial markets are in a different kind of bubble. The traditional definition of a bubble includes a mania, an important psychological element which seems missing today. Our definition of a bubble is simpler. A bubble in our view occurs when asset values are significantly higher than justified by the probable future income generated on the stock of productive assets.

Before discussing the economics of productive assets, we think it helpful to classify today's bubble: it is a central bank reflation bubble rather than a classic mania driven bubble. Excess liquidity and low interest rates have pushed asset prices higher over the past several years. This is not to say that central banks are to blame. It is unclear that they could have done anything else. Indeed, they likely helped to prevent the Great Recession from turning into a Great Depression. Nevertheless, reflation can create its own set of problems.

The economics which equilibrate asset values and productive assets generally work well at the firm level. A firm will buy existing assets if they are cheaper than investing in new productive assets, and vice versa. The problems arise at the macroeconomic level. Central bank actions and other capital market mechanisms designed to spur new investment may not work under certain conditions. Examples of cases under which central bank actions are likely to prove impotent are when resources are fully utilized, yields are near zero, or future sales that justify expansion are not forthcoming.

To illustrate further let us say that the central bank engages in open market asset purchases which lower interest rates. One key objective of lower rates is investment in new productive assets and additional capacity to absorb the central bank funds. However, if firms do not invest, asset values may rise without an attendant increase in productive asset capacity.

The exact reasons why central bank actions can prove ineffective are beyond the scope of this commentary, but suffice it to say that we believe asset values are high and remain skeptical that supernormal future growth will generate the cash flows necessary to justify them. The following two charts help illustrate our point.

The first chart shows historical prices relative to ACR’s cyclically adjusted S&P 500 GAAP Earnings per Share. The only time prices were higher relative to earnings was during the greatest equity market bubble in US history. Some suggest that GAAP earnings of publicly traded companies are understated. Our company level work generally suggests otherwise. The second chart illustrates another reason why earnings are unlikely to be understated. Corporate earnings have risen faster than per capita GDP in recent years as earnings have taken a rising share of GDP compared to wages. Mathematically this cannot go on forever; politically the runway may be even shorter.




Figure 2 —Source: ACR Alpine Capital Research analysis, Robert Shiller, US Bureau of Labor Statistics




Figure 3 —Source: ACR Alpine Capital Research analysis, Robert Shiller, S&P Indices, The Conference Board, Agnus Maddison

While market psychology is far from maniacal, the next chart shows that consumer confidence is back to pre-financial crisis levels. Investors seem more concerned again with return *on* capital rather than return *of* capital.




Figure 4 —Source: The Conference Board, Bloomberg

Our recipe to protect against the downside remains the same: buy cheap securities and hold a significant liquid reserve. ACR is very fortunate that our investors give us the latitude to exercise discretion in our purchases. We can be picky; one of the great unhidden secrets of our success. ACR portfolio managers can buy the rare quality security with very different characteristics than the market – the stock with a far lower valuation, the bond with a yield well above its risk, or the occasional one-off arbitrage. When we cannot find such securities, we have the latitude to hold a healthy liquid reserve which serves the dual purpose of protecting downside today and allowing us to capitalize upon market dislocations tomorrow.

Tomorrow’s dislocations oftentimes strike from the unknown, yet we still seek to understand global imbalances in trade and finance which could produce them. Major global macroeconomic concerns are China’s investment demand, the Euro area’s structural incongruities, and the financial fragility of an aging US workforce. All are surmountable problems, yet they could cause temporary market turmoil. Regardless, the ultimate threat – high financial market prices – remains.

Protection via the ownership of out-of-favor securities and a significant liquid reserve means we are likely to earn modest returns and at times fall behind the market, an unavoidable by-product of protecting capital from speculative loss. If only one could buy insurance in the year of an accident and avoid paying the premiums along the way. Unfortunately, that is not how insurance works, nor how capital protection works. The good news for investors with a patient approach like ours is that human behavior has not changed. Markets still fluctuate. The day will come again when our liquid reserves can be fully deployed.

While we see no reason to change our economic growth assumptions, we remain optimistic about the long-run future of the US economy, and will monitor the new administration’s policies closely as they relate to our individual holdings. In our opinion the long-run trend of productivity growth is unlikely to bend significantly higher or lower regardless of how productive or unproductive the administration’s policies. The following chart shows how steady the long-term per capita GDP growth trend has been over the years. More importantly *we don’t know* if the trend will change or not, and we do not make wholesale changes when we don’t know.




Figure 5 —Source: ACR Alpine Capital Research analysis, The Conference Board, Angus Maddison

Of course, we hope our elected officials will do everything they can to put pro-growth policies in place. It may very well be that some of the pro-growth policies will help offset other macroeconomic headwinds. Regardless, our returns are likely to be more contingent on the specific characteristics of our individual holdings than a change in the overall long term economic growth rate of the economy.

Below is an abbreviated summary of our view on key policies under consideration by the new administration. While we are skeptical of the ability of any administration to materially change the long-term growth path of the US economy, and even more skeptical of our ability to forecast whether such a change will occur, executive and legislative policies can have a direct impact on our portfolio companies and valuations, which is why we monitor them closely.

Corporate income taxes. Permanently lower corporate income taxes are likely, and they will be positive for the valuation of most US companies. The details will be important. A cash flow based tax and border adjustment could create winners and losers, although currency adjustments are likely to mitigate some of these effects. As the year unfolds and the new tax regime comes into clearer focus, explicit tax based adjustments to our valuations will be necessary. While we expect a positive increase in value for many companies, we do not expect the overall impact to radically change our view of general corporate valuations.

Regulation. The US economy is likely to benefit from less regulation. While it could make business conditions more volatile, the increase in volatility is likely to be offset by higher productivity.

Nevertheless, the benefit will still be marginal. Growth in our opinion is not capable of permanently rising, say from 2% to 3-4% annualized, solely based on changes in regulatory policies. A ¼% to ¾% annualized increase may be possible over a 10-year period, but no one really knows.

Personal income taxes. Personal income tax cuts are likely to be modestly stimulative. ACR's macroeconomic adviser Steve Fazzari has put together a simple analysis based on discussions in the press of possible personal tax changes. The analysis illustrates possible effects rather than a forecast of future outcomes. It shows that the reported tax cut plan could create a one-time benefit of 1-2% of GDP (Steve's estimate is 1.4%). Interestingly, if the same government revenue cost was distributed equally across all taxpaying households, Steve's assumptions suggest a demand stimulus about four times larger, given a higher propensity to consume among lower income taxpayers.

Trade. Trade is the wild card. In our opinion the administration's trade policy is misguided. A short-term "sugar high" is possible from protectionist policies, but long-term productivity would likely suffer and more than offset any short-term benefits. Reducing our trade deficit could cause a modest, one-time increase in GDP. However, meddling in business decisions on how to best reduce costs and ignoring the clear benefits of comparative advantage in international trade is in our opinion economically wrong-headed. The most hopeful outcome would be if the new administration negotiated better pro-global trade deals for the US, provided support for displaced workers, and let the market do its job.

In short, the economic policies of the new administration on tax and regulation are likely to be modestly positive, whereas trade is a wild card and could be negative. The administration's more contentious policies on healthcare, immigration and the environment are far more political than directly macro economically impactful, and therefore outside the scope of our discussion. Yet they raise one last item of importance. America voted for change, and we are getting it. ACR welcomes the upside of positive changes to our political and economic system. Yet ACR is also inherently focused on risk, and the risk is that wrenching changes to complex political and economic systems produce unintended consequences.

Not in recent memory has there been such a wide chasm of difference in public opinion on matters of political importance. Some are adamant that the US is headed for disaster; others are hopeful that a new age of prosperity is at hand. Our view is that neither extreme is correct. The US economy and political system are bigger than any person or party. We will chug along as leader of the free world for many years to come and will continue to forge our way forward after inevitably stumbling along the way. Democratically driven strife has made us who we are; and in this sense, whatever lies ahead is likely to make us stronger.

We wish to thank our clients and partners for your continued trust, confidence, and patience, and wish you all the very best in the coming year.

*Nick Tompras
January 2017*

As of November 4, 2022, we have provided this supplement to accompany the commentary and satisfy changing regulations:
<https://acr-invest.com/commentary-supplement/>

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES

All statistics highlighted in this research note are sourced from ACR's analysis unless otherwise noted.

Past performance is not indicative of future returns. Data in this Commentary represents past performance and investors should understand that investment returns and principal values fluctuate, so that when you redeem your investment it may be worth more or less than its original cost. Performance has been calculated on a total return basis, which combines principal and dividend income changes for the periods shown. Principal changes are based on the difference between the beginning and closing values for the period and assume reinvestment of all dividends and distributions paid. Adviser's fees are disclosed in the Firm Disclosure Brochure, ADV Part 2A. All applicable expenses such as advisory fees have been included in calculating performance. It should not be assumed that recommendations made in the future will be profitable or will equal the performance of the examples discussed. You should consider any strategy's investment objectives, risks, and charges and expenses carefully before you invest. The volatility of the Indexes portrayed may be materially different than the composite presented. Investors cannot invest directly in an index.

This information should not be used as a general guide to investing or as a source of any specific investment recommendations, and makes no implied or expressed recommendations concerning the manner in which an account should or would be handled, as appropriate investment strategies depend upon specific investment guidelines and objectives. This is not an offer to sell or a solicitation to invest.

This information is intended solely to report on investment strategies implemented by Alpine Capital Research ("ACR"). Opinions and estimates offered constitute our judgment as of the date set forth above and are subject to change without notice, as are statements of financial market trends, which are based on current market conditions. Under no circumstances does the information contained within represent a recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security, and it should not be assumed that the securities transactions or holdings discussed were or will prove to be profitable. There are risks associated with purchasing and selling securities and options thereon, including the risk that you could lose money. All material presented is compiled from sources believed to be reliable, but no guarantee is given as to its accuracy.

The Equity Quality Return (EQR) Advised / SMA Composite consists of equity portfolios managed for non-wrap fee and wrap fee clients according to the Firm's published investment policy. The composite investment policy includes the objective of providing satisfactory absolute and relative results in the long run, and to preserve capital from permanent loss during periods of economic decline. EQR invests only in publicly traded marketable common stocks. Please refer to our full composite performance presentation with disclosures published under the performance section of our web site at www.acr-invest.com.

The Index Benchmark is the S&P 500 Total Return (TR) Index. The S&P 500 TR Index best represents the quality of the composite holdings. The S&P 500 TR Index is a broad-based stock index including reinvestment of dividends and is widely regarded as an indication of domestic stock market performance. The S&P 500 TR index is unmanaged and cannot be purchased by investors.